

completes its Contract With America and helps us to economic recovery as every American wants.

### WELFARE REFORM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from North Carolina [Mrs. CLAYTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, I support welfare reform. Reform, however, does not mean change for the sake of change. Reform means change for the sake of improvement. As we move to reform the welfare system, let us make sure that we make a better system, not just a different system. Some of our programs are working and working well. Nutrition programs have proven their worth.

This morning, the House Committee on Agriculture held its first hearing of the 104th Congress. The subject of the hearing was the Food Stamp Program. During the hearing, we heard of instances of fraud and abuse. The information received at the hearing may tempt some to call for the elimination of the Food Stamp Program. Such calls, however, would not take the good that the program does into account. The good far outweighs any problems that the program may experience.

The Food Stamp Program was instituted to confront hunger in America. Over 27 million people in the United States are served by the program—more than half of them, 51 percent—are children. Seven percent are elderly. In the State of North Carolina alone, over 627,000 people receive food stamp benefits—and over half of that total, 323,552—are children.

In 1993, North Carolina received \$512 million in food stamp funding. In my district, 74,370 hungry people benefit. However, with the cuts that have been proposed in nutrition programs, it is estimated that North Carolina will lose nearly 20 percent of its food stamp funding. That loss will mean the loss or reduction in benefits for almost 44,000 North Carolinians. Additionally, it is estimated that should the Food Stamp Program be converted to block grants, approximately 3,122 jobs will be lost in North Carolina alone—this means about \$33.9 million in lost wages. This is just in my home State of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, that is but one legacy of the balanced budget amendment and the contract on America. The people have a right to know. Unless we act to prevent it, there will be drastic cuts in funds for school meals and WIC as well.

This Nation is great, not because of its military might, although it is important to be strong militarily. We are great, not because of our success in diplomacy, although it is important to move effectively in the world arena. What makes us a great nation however, is the compassion we show for those who live in the shadows of life—the young, the old, the poor, and the disabled.

When history and the voters judge us, in the end, we will not be judged by how much we mindlessly cut. We will be judged by how much we truly cared. The school meals program gives to our young people the nutrition they need, the strength that is required, to make it through the school day. Last year we fed free and reduced price breakfast to more than 5 million children nationwide. The money we spent for that program, nationwide, is now threatened.

In North Carolina, 180,000 children were fed breakfast, free or at a reduced price last year. Those children may go hungry at school next year. That could be one of the legacies of the balanced budget amendment and the contract on America. The people have a right to know, and I intend to tell them. Similarly, the National School Lunch Program which served 13½ million children last year, will likely serve far fewer next year.

In North Carolina, money from the national program was spent to serve free or reduced priced lunches to some 379,000 children. The people have a right to know that those funds may be lost. The special supplemental program for women, infants, and children [WIC] is threatened. Important Federal funds were spent last year for 6 million WIC participants. Nearly \$74 million of those funds were spent in North Carolina, servicing 169,000 WIC participants from my State.

After school programs, summer programs, violence prevention programs all may be slashed for years to come under the balanced budget amendment mandate and the contract on America. The people have not been told about these cuts, and they have a right to know. We face the creation of thousands, perhaps millions, of new orphans because we are threatening to cut the cord of life from those parents, struggling to make ends meet, and their children, innocent in every respect.

Mr. Speaker, I support welfare reform and I include the remainder of my speech in the RECORD at this point, as follows:

Yes, I support welfare reform. But, in the words of Susan B. Anthony, "Cautious, careful people, always casting about to preserve social standing, can never bring about reform." These are not times to be cautious and careful about government.

Yes, we need a smaller, more effective government. But, we also need a bold and visionary government—a government that changes with the times, but remains fundamentally unchanged—an instrument for the many, not just for the few.

### PESO BAILOUT

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HASTINGS of Washington). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California [Mr. HUNTER] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HUNTER. Mr. Speaker, I also want to talk about the bailout, the new Clinton unilateral, nonparticipation by the legislative branch bailout. And I

was just speaking with my friend the gentlewoman from Ohio, MARCY KAPTUR, who has really been a leader in trade and leader on this issue, about what is happening to our country and what is happening to American workers. And I hope that there is a silver lining to the cloud of this bailout issue which hovers over Americans right now, which the President is attempting to dismiss with this use of the Exchange Stabilization Fund, if he is to bail out Mexico without requiring Congress to vote up or down.

The silver lining that I am looking for is a realization in this body, in the House of Representatives, of the fact that our blind adherence to free trade, that is leveling all borders, all tariffs between us and the rest of the world, regardless of the circumstances, regardless of whether or not they let us into their borders, regardless of the displacement of American workers, relying on the blind adherence on the Republican side and the Democrat side in some cases.

Let us talk a little bit about the peso bailout and some of the conservative Republicans who recently have testified in our forums.

Bill Seidman is a conservative Republican renowned economic leader, former chairman of the FSLIC, a guy who knows bailouts, and he made a couple of good points in his speech to our forum when he said, "Do not bail out Mexico."

First, he does believe in the free market and he could not understand why people who believe in a free market and who believed in NAFTA would now believe that somehow the politics and the economics of subsidies to Mexico now make sense.

He pointed out that Mexico has gone through in the last 10 or 20 years a number of devaluations, and they have not had these disastrous apocalyptic effects that all of the deep breathers tell us are going to happen now if we do not bail out Mexico with a \$40-billion-plus package. Here is Bill Seidman, a renowned conservative economic expert relied on by this Nation in very difficult times saying we do not have to do it, let the market adjust it. He made a great statement. He said this issue should be resolved between Mexico and her creditors, let us resolve this between creditor and debtor.

□ 1850

Let us stay out of this as the United States of America. In listening to witness after witness on the Democrat side and the Republican side across the political spectrum coming up and testifying against the bailout, it occurred to me that this has revealed another aspect of national policy that should be looked at very closely.

If this is free trade, this is the result of free trade where a tiny nation economically like Mexico, which has approximately the economy the size of New Jersey's, can be in a position to pull the United States down because it